Collaborative Tagging Using CAPTCHA

ME.JAKEERA BEGUM
Assistant Professor
Department of Computer Science & Engineering
Vignan's Nirula Institute of Technology & Science
for Women, Guntur, AP, India

M.VENKATA RAO
Assistant Professor
Department of Computer Science & Engineering
Vignan's Nirula Institute of Technology & Science
for Women, Guntur, AP, India

Abstract: **Tagging is most widely used feature in online networks. There are no of tags are available mainly offline resources based on their feedback, expressed in the form of free-text labels (i.e., tags). Recently there is a problem based on the tagging of feedback, free-text labels etc. Without user permission tags are automatically generated spam scripts. So, users are facing many sensitive problems like privacy. In the existing system, a privacy-preserving collaborative tagging service, by showing how a specific privacy-enhancing technology, namely tag suppression, can be used to protect end-user privacy. Some problems identified in the existing system. To overcome these problems captcha based security in introduced in the proposed system to provide better security for the tagging information. Results will show the performance of the proposed system.**

Keywords: **Tagging, Captcha, Privacy.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Collective tagging is a standout among the most diffused and mainstream services accessible on the web. Initially gave by social bookmarking sites only, Delicious (http://delicious.com), Digg (http://digg.com), Stumble-Upon

(http://stumbleupon.com)— it is as of now upheld by almost any sort of social web application, and it is utilized to comment any sort of online and disconnected from the net assets (e.g., website pages, pictures, features, films, music, and even blog entries).

The principle reason for collaborative tagging is to freely arrange assets in view of end-client's input, communicated as free-content names (i.e., tags). The oddity of such a way to deal with substance/asset classification has been seen, as of late, as a testing examination theme. Truth be told, collective tags may be the premise for a semantic system associating online assets in light of their qualities, and not just their URIs. In the meantime, the vague semantics of tags, which are fundamentally uncertain and communicated in numerous dialects, makes it hard to implement semantic interoperability and to allow a sensible level of exactness when deciding the "signifying" of a tag.

Taking into account such contemplations, most research work has examined how to adequately reuse tag accumulations (alluded to as folksonomies) in the semantic Web structure (see, e.g., [1], [2], [3]), and broke down community oriented labeling practices to authorize methodologies tending to the semantic equivocalness issue (e.g., as in [4]), by factually dissecting tag accumulations to gather, at whatever point conceivable, a semantic arrangement of no less than a subset of tags. Collaborative tag is for the most part used to bolster tag-based asset

disclosure and scanning, it could likewise be abused for different purposes. As a sample, the tags gathered by social bookmarking services can be misused to implement upgraded web access functionalities, similar to substance sifting and disclosure, in view of inclinations determined by the end client. On the other hand, to accomplish this improved utilize, the present structural planning of collective tags services must be stretched out by including an approach layer. The point of this layer will be to uphold client inclinations, intentionally signifying assets on the premise of the arrangement of labels connected with them, and, potentially, different parameters concerning their dependability (the rate of clients who have included a given tag, the social connections and qualities of those clients, and so forth.). This is another exploration point, and, to the best of our insight, the main work tending to this issue is accounted for in [5], where a multilayer policy based collaborative tagging system is described.

Captcha is a computer program developed for application security. Only human can enter the text in that but spam scripts and systems can't enter into the applications.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Social/community oriented tagging has been early perceived as a testing examination theme [6]. From 2005, few papers have considered its particular qualities, the similitudes, and contrasts with "customary" annotation strategies, and in addition how tags' collections advance after some time. Case in point, late work has proposed intriguing ways to deal with tag suggestion and analysation. Tag expectation concerns the likelihood of distinguishing the most plausible labels to be connected with a non taged asset, while tag proposal is intended to recommend to clients the

labels to be utilized to depict assets they are bookmarking. In both cases, existing methodologies apply strategies typically authorized in suggestion frameworks [7]. Case in point, in [8], [9], labels are anticipated taking into account asset's substance and its likeness with effectively labeled assets. By complexity, in [10], [11], [12] tag proposal is authorized by processing tag-based client profiles, and by recommending tags indicated on a given asset by clients having comparative qualities/intrigues, though in [13] the creators utilize a rating-based methodology, as in reputation systems [14].

In any case, in this way, not very many works have explored how social labeling can be utilized to improve client's entrance to web assets. Really, look into on this issue for the most part centered on how and/or whether social labeling can enhance web search [15] [16].

III. EXISTING SYSTEM

The main purpose of collaborative tagging is to loosely classify resources based on end-user's feedback, expressed in the form of free-text labels (i.e., tags). The novelty of such an approach to content/resource categorization has been seen, in recent years, as a challenging research topic. In fact, collaborative tagging may be the basis for a semantic network connecting online resources based on their characteristics, and not only their URIs. At the same time, the undefined semantics of tags, which are per same ambiguous and expressed in multiple languages, makes it difficult to enforce semantic interoperability and to grant a reasonable level of accuracy when determining the "meaning" of a tag.

IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM

In this paper, our proposed system focus on captcha based tagging security is provided. The usage of the captcha is to provide captcha image every time when the user need to tag. By doing the anonymous users cannot tag the feedbacks, free lables etc. Captcha is most widely used security in all the online websites like feedback systems, opinion websites etc. By using this captcha can provide security for the user's data. In our model, privacy is accomplished by giving captcha to the tags.

V. MODULES

Online System: In this module, our system registers the no of users and provides collaborative tagging for every user. As per functionality the user can access anything with his account.

Privacy preserving: In this module, this is considered as the existing system. In this system user don't have the privacy to tag the reviews or interesting things in the online social networks.

Captcha: In this module, user can tag any review, comment, photo, video without any scare regarding security issues because the proposed system aims to provide the captcha to the users for collaborative tagging.

Captcha:

CAPTCHAs, or Completely Automated Public Turing Tests to Tell Computers and Humans Apart, exist to ensure that user input has not been generated by a computer. These peculiar puzzles are commonly used on the web to protect registration and comment forms from spam. To be honest, I have mixed feelings about CAPTCHAs. They have annoyed me on many occasions, but I've also implemented them as quick fixes on websites.

VI. IMPLEMENTATION

In our experiments, we utilized the Delicious information set recovered by the Distributed Artificial Intelligence Laboratory (DAILabor), at Technische Universita¨t Berlin [17]. This information set incorporates those bookmarks and labels checked as open by roughly 950,000 clients. The data is sorted out as triples (username, bookmark, tag), every one demonstrating the activity of a client partner a bookmark with a tag. The information set contains 420 a large number of these triples, which were presented from September 2003 on December 2007. It merits saying that no preprocessing has been done, however usernames have been anonymized by applying a hash capacity.

The usage in asp.net with sqlserver 2008. Asp.net is a server side programming with c# as programming dialect. With our person to person communication our outcomes have been produced. In this paper, captcha based labels are given. To comprehend the requirement for CAPTCHAs, we ought to comprehend spammers' motivating forces for making and utilizing mechanized information frameworks. For the purpose of this article, we'll consider spam of any baseless communication or information on a site, whether pernicious or for the advantage of the spammer (and that contrast from the motivation behind the site). Motivations to spam include:

- Advertising on a massive scale;
- Manipulating online voting systems;
- Destabilizing a critical human equilibrium (i.e. creating an unfair advantage);
- Vandalizing or destroying the integrity of a website;
- Creating unnatural, unethical links to boost search engine rankings;

- Accessing private information;
- Spreading malicious code.

All of these incentives lead to profitable or otherwise gainful situations for spammers. Automating the process obviously allows for superhuman speed and efficiency. Those who run websites know that this is a big business and a big problem. Akismet, the popular spam killer (commonly seen as a Word Press plug-in), catches over 18 million spam comments per day and has caught more than 20 billion in its history. Mollom, which provides a similar service, catches over half a million spam comments per day and estimates that more than 90% of all messages are spam.

VII. RESULTS

Though there are no of privacy based tag are there and tag Suppression is also implemented in the existing systems still there is a lack of privacy issues are raised so that captcha based tags are implemented in this to give better solution for the privacy and security from spam messages. To prevent the spam messages in the tags the proposed captcha based tag privacy is implemented. By using captcha as privacy and security 95% of the users will get the security for their tags and their accounts in social networking sites.

Algorithm:

Step: 1 Login to the form
Step: 2 check the messages
Step: 3 check the tags.
Step: 4 enable the captcha.
Step: 5 enter the correct captcha
Step: 6 results displayed
Step: 7 Stop

VIII. CONCLUSION

Collaborative tagging is currently an extremely popular online service. Although nowadays it is basically used to support resource search and browsing, its potential is still to be exploited. One of these potential applications is the provision of web access functionalities such as content filtering and discovery. For this to become a reality, however, it would be necessary to extend the architecture of current collaborative tagging services so as to include a policy layer that supports the enforcement of user preferences. On the other hand, as collaborative tagging has been gaining popularity, it has become more evident the need for privacy protection; not only because tags are sensitive information per se, but also because of the risk of cross referencing. In a nutshell, collaborative tagging would also benefit from a layer helping users protect their privacy.

IX. REFERENCES

[1] P. Mika, "Ontologies Are Us: A Unified Model of Social Networks and Semantics," Proc. Int'l Semantic Web Conf. (ISWC '05), Y. Gil, E. Motta, V. Benjamins, and M. Musen, eds., pp. 522-536, 2005.

[2] X. Wu, L. Zhang, and Y. Yu, "Exploring Social Annotations for the Semantic Web," Proc. 15th Int'l World Wide Web Conf. (WWW), pp. 417-426, 2006.

[3] B. Markines, C. Cattuto, F. Menczer, D. Benz, A. Hotho, and S. Gerd, "Evaluating Similarity Measures for Emergent Semantics of Social Tagging," Proc. 18th Int'l Conf. World Wide Web (WWW), pp. 641-650, 2009.

[4] C. Marlow, M. Naaman, D. Boyd, and M. Davis, "HT06, Tagging Paper, Taxonomy, Flickr, Academic Article, to Read," Proc. 17th Conf. Hypertext and Hypermedia (HYPERTEXT), pp. 31-40, 2006.

[5] B. Carminati, E. Ferrari, and A. Perego, "Combining Social Networks and Semantic Web Technologies for Personalizing Web Access," Proc. Fourth Int'l Conf. Collaborative Computing: Networking, Applications and Worksharing, pp. 126-144, 2008.

[6] J. Voß, "Tagging, Folksonomy & Co - Renaissance of Manual Indexing?" Computer Research Repository, vol. abs/cs/0701072, 2007.

[7] G. Adomavicius and A. Tuzhilin, "Toward the Next Generation of Recommender Systems: A Survey of the State-of-the-Art and Possible Extensions," IEEE Trans. Knowledge Data Eng., vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 734-749, June 2005.

[8] P. Heymann, D. Ramage, and H. Garcia-Molina, "Social Tag Prediction," Proc. 31st Ann. Int'l ACM SIGIR Conf. Research Development Information Retrieval, pp. 531-538, 2008.

[9] E. Frı́as-Martinez, M. Cebria´n, and A. Jaimes, "A Study on the Granularity of User Modeling for Tag Prediction," Proc. IEEE/ WIC/ACM Int'l Conf. Web Intelligence Intelligent Agent Technology (WIIAT), pp. 828-831, 2008.

[10] Z. Yun and F. Boqin, "Tag-Based User Modeling Using Formal Concept Analysis," Proc. IEEE Eighth Int'l Conf. Computer Information Technology (CIT), pp. 485-490, 2008.

[11] A. Shepitsen, J. Gemmell, B. Mobasher, and R. Burke, "Personalized Recommendation in Social Tagging Systems Using Hierarchical Clustering," Proc. ACM Conf. Recommender Systems (RecSys), pp. 259-266, 2008.

[12] M. Bundschus, S. Yu, V. Tresp, A. Rettinger, M. Dejori, and H.-P. Kriegel, "Hierarchical Bayesian Models for Collaborative Tagging Systems," Proc. IEEE Int'l Conf. Data Mining (ICDM), pp. 728-733, 2009.

[13] X. Li, C.G.M. Snoek, and M. Worring, "Learning Social Tag Relevance by Neighbor Voting," IEEE Trans. Multimedia, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 1310-1322, Nov. 2009.

[14] S. Marti and H. Garcia-Molina, "Taxonomy of Trust: Categorizing P2P Reputation Systems," Computer Networks, vol. 50, pp. 472-484, Mar. 2006.

[15] K. Bischoff, C.S. Firan, W. Nejdl, and R. Paiu, "Can All Tags Be Used for Search?" Proc. 17th ACM Conf. Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), pp. 193-202, 2008.

[16] P. Heymann, G. Koutrika, and H. Garcia-Molina, "Can Social Bookmarking Improve Web Search?" Proc. Int'l Conf. Web SearchData Mining (WSDM), pp. 195-206, 2008.

[17] http://www.dai-labor.de/en/competence_centers/irml/ datasets/. 2013.